

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,165	SATO ET AL.	
	Examiner Roger L Pang	Art Unit 3681	

**All Participants:**

(1) Roger L Pang

(2) Stephen Adrian

**Date of Interview:** 2 September 2004

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An examiner's amendment was agreed upon that would remove claim references in the specification and shorten the abstract.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)